


GP Walk-In Services Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

List of External Reviewers

1.	[REDACTED]	University of Glasgow
2.	[REDACTED]	Deep End Portfolio GP
3.	[REDACTED]	Lothian Public Health Consultants
4.	[REDACTED]	GP, AMD NHS Borders, SQSF Fellow
5.	[REDACTED]	University of St Andrews
6.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] NHS A&A
7.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] NHS Fife, ESUCWG
8.	[REDACTED]	University of Glasgow
9.	[REDACTED]	University of St Andrews
10.	[REDACTED]	Royal College Em Med/NHS GG&C
11.	[REDACTED]	Strategic Dev, eHealth PM, Adastra
12.	[REDACTED]	Public Health
13.	[REDACTED]	SGPC, BMA
14.	[REDACTED]	Royal College GP
15.	[REDACTED]	University of Bristol
16.	[REDACTED]	University of St Andrews
17.	[REDACTED]	ED Cons, SQSF Programme Lead
18.	[REDACTED]	NHS NSS (Previously OOH Lead)
19.	[REDACTED]	OOH CL, CD for Front Door NHS FV
20.	[REDACTED]	University of Glasgow

Abbreviations

AMD	Associate Medical Director
SQSF	Scottish Quality and Safety Fellowship
ESUCWG	East Subnational Unscheduled Care Working Group
SGPC	Scottish General Practice Committee



[Draft] Fw: CONFIDENTIAL: Request for Expert Comment – National Evaluation Framework, GP Walk-in Services Pilot

From [REDACTED]@nhs.scot
Draft saved Fri 14/08/2026 17:00

From: HIS gpwalkinevaluation <his.gpwalkinevaluation@nhs.scot>
Sent: 06 August 2026 11:08
To: HIS gpwalkinevaluation <his.gpwalkinevaluation@nhs.scot>
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL: Request for Expert Comment – National Evaluation Framework, GP Walk-in Services Pilot

Dear colleague

We would value your expert review of the  [draft national monitoring and evaluation framework](#) for Scotland's GP Walk-in Services Pilot. Please treat this draft as confidential and do not share it further.

We would welcome your views on whether:

- the overall approach is proportionate and fit for purpose at this stage of the pilot
- the proposed questions, measures and indicators are appropriate and likely to generate useful evidence
- there are any significant gaps in the framework that could limit interpretation of the findings or the usefulness of the evaluation
- the approach is likely to support meaningful national learning

Please submit your feedback via this accompanying [Microsoft Form](#), which is structured around the areas above and includes space for additional comments.

We are not seeking feedback at this stage on governance, organisational responsibilities, data ownership, or implementation arrangements.

We would be grateful to receive your comments by **5pm on Friday 7 August**.

Thank you for your time and expertise.

Kind regards

HIS GP walk-in services evaluation team