

# Announced Inspection Report: Independent Healthcare

Service: Samantha Campbell Aesthetics (The Coco Club), Prestwick Service Provider: Club Coco Ltd

15 August 2023



Healthcare Improvement Scotland is committed to equality. We have assessed the inspection function for likely impact on equality protected characteristics as defined by age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, and sexual orientation (Equality Act 2010). You can request a copy of the equality impact assessment report from the Healthcare Improvement Scotland Equality and Diversity Advisor on 0141 225 6999 or email his.contactpublicinvolvement@nhs.scot

© Healthcare Improvement Scotland 2023

First published November 2023

This document is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence. This allows for the copy and redistribution of this document as long as Healthcare Improvement Scotland is fully acknowledged and given credit. The material must not be remixed, transformed or built upon in any way. To view a copy of this licence, visit <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

#### www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org

## Contents

1	A summary of our inspection	4
2	What we found during our inspection	9
Ар	pendix 1 – About our inspections	18

# **1** A summary of our inspection

## Background

Healthcare Improvement Scotland is the regulator of independent healthcare services in Scotland. As a part of this role, we undertake risk-based and intelligence-led inspections of independent healthcare services.

## **Our focus**

The focus of our inspections is to ensure each service is person-centred, safe and well led. We evaluate the service against the National Health Services (Scotland) Act 1978 and regulations or orders made under the Act, its conditions of registration and Healthcare Improvement Scotland's Quality Assurance Framework. We ask questions about the provider's direction, its processes for the implementation and delivery of the service, and its results.

## **About our inspection**

We carried out an announced inspection to Samantha Campbell Aesthetics (The Coco Club) on Tuesday 15 August 2023. We spoke with the service owner (practitioner). We received feedback from four patients through an online survey we had asked the service to issue to its patients for us before the inspection. This was our first inspection to this service.

Based in Prestwick, Samantha Campbell Aesthetics (The Coco Club) is an independent clinic providing non-surgical treatments.

The inspection team was made up of one inspector.

## What we found and inspection grades awarded

For Samantha Campbell Aesthetics (The Coco Club), the following grades have been applied.

Direction	How clear is the service's vision and purpose and how supportive is its leadership and culture?	
Summary findings		Grade awarded
experience of care was a patients were included in	as to ensure their patients' successful and happy one, and that n every aspect of their treatment. ff contributing to this purpose should	✓ Satisfactory
Implementation and delivery	How well does the service engage with and manage/improve its performance	
The service encouraged to improve the service. The peer groups to keep up the vidence of patient-cent policies, as well as programs assessments, helped to enviou the management continueds of the service.	√√ Good	
Results	How well has the service demonstrated safe, person-centred care?	that it provides
The environment and pa maintained, and process continued to be clean an Patients were positive ar and the staff. A risk asses service's ventilation syst	<ul> <li>✓ Satisfactory</li> </ul>	

Grades may change after this inspection due to other regulatory activity. For example, if we have to take enforcement action to improve the service or if we investigate and agree with a complaint someone makes about the service.

More information about grading can be found on our website at: <u>http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our\_work/inspecting\_and\_re</u> <u>gulating\_care/ihc\_inspection\_guidance/inspection\_methodology.aspx</u> Further information about the Quality Assurance Framework can also be found on our website at:

https://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/scrutiny/the\_quality\_assura\_nce\_system.aspx

## What action we expect Club Coco Ltd to take after our inspection

The actions that Healthcare Improvement Scotland expects the independent healthcare service to take are called requirements and recommendations.

- Requirement: A requirement is a statement which sets out what is required of an independent healthcare provider to comply with the National Health Services (Scotland) Act 1978, regulations or a condition of registration.
   Where there are breaches of the Act, regulations or conditions, a requirement must be made. Requirements are enforceable.
- **Recommendation:** A recommendation is a statement which sets out what a service should do in order to align with relevant standards and guidance.

This inspection resulted in two requirements and four recommendations.

Dire	ection	
Rec	juirements	
Nor	ne	
Recommendation		
а	The service should formally record the minutes of staff meetings. These should include any actions taken and those responsible for the actions (see page 10).	
	Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.19	

## Implementation and delivery

#### Requirement

1 The provider must ensure that all botulinum-contaminated sharps are disposed of as cytostatic medicines, through the correct European Waste Catalogue code of EWC 18-01-08 (see page 14).

Timescale - immediate

Regulation 3d(iii) The Healthcare Improvement Scotland (Requirements as to Independent Health Care Services) Regulations 2011

#### Recommendations

**b** The service should develop a process of keeping patients informed of the impact their feedback has on the service (see page 11).

Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.8.

**c** The service should ensure that a written record of all training provided, including confirmation that staff have read and understood relevant policies, is held for each staff member, including for those that are granted practicing privileges to work in the service (see page 14).

Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the people who support and care for me. Statement 3.14

**d** The service should develop and implement a quality improvement plan that demonstrates and directs the way it measures improvement (see page 15).

Health and Social Care Standards: My support, my life. I have confidence in the organisation providing my care and support. Statement 4.19

Results			
Requirement			
2	The provider must carry out a risk assessment on its ventilation system in the treatment room to mitigate against any risk associated with using a non-compliant system until the system can be upgraded to conform with Scottish Healthcare Technical Memorandum 03-01 (see page 17).		
	Timescale – immediate		
	Regulation 10(2)(c)		
	The Healthcare Improvement Scotland (Requirements as to Independent Healthcare Services) Regulations 2011.		
Recommendations			
None			

An improvement action plan has been developed by the provider and is available on the Healthcare Improvement Scotland website: <u>www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our\_work/inspecting\_and\_regulating\_care/independent\_healthcare/find\_a\_provider\_or\_service.aspx</u>

Club Coco Ltd, the provider, must address the requirements and make the necessary improvements as a matter of priority.

We would like to thank all staff at Samantha Campbell Aesthetics (The Coco Club) for their assistance during the inspection.

# 2 What we found during our inspection

## **Key Focus Area: Direction**

#### Domain 1: Clear vision and purpose Domain 2: Leadership and culture

How clear is the service's vision and purpose and how supportive is its leadership and culture?

#### **Our findings**

The service's purpose was to ensure their patients' experience of care was a successful and happy one, and that patients were included in every aspect of their treatment. Discussions between staff contributing to this purpose should be formally documented.

#### Clear vision and purpose

The service's aims and objectives were:

- to build a reputable and trustworthy service
- to create and retain a customer base through excellent customer service
- to develop skills and scope of practice to grow as a practitioner and service through further training, and
- to become an independent nurse prescriber.

It was evident that they applied these aims and objectives from the practitioner's ongoing training, and the repeat business from returning patients. The practitioner is currently completing the nurse prescribing course recognised by the Nursing and Midwifery Council.

- No requirements.
- No recommendations.

#### Leadership and culture

An experienced nurse practitioner owned and ran the service. An independent prescriber worked along with the practitioner under practicing privileges (staff not employed directly by the provider but given permission to work in the service) to prescribe prescription-only medicines, such as anti-wrinkle injections. Both the practitioner and prescriber were registered with the Nursing and Midwifery Council. We were told the practitioner was currently undertaking a nurse prescribing course, and would take over prescribing duties when they had completed the course.

We were told that the practitioner and prescriber regularly discussed how the service developed and what improvements could be made.

#### What needs to improve

There were no formal records of discussions between the practitioner and prescriber. Therefore there were no records of suggestions from the prescriber for improving the service, nor of any decisions made or actions to be taken (recommendation a).

■ No requirements.

#### **Recommendation** a

The service should formally record the minutes of staff meetings. These should include any actions taken and those responsible for the actions.

## **Key Focus Area: Implementation and delivery**

Domain 3:	Domain 4:	Domain 5:		
Co-design, co-production	Quality improvement	Planning for quality		
How well does the service engage with its stakeholders and manage/improve its performance?				

#### **Our findings**

The service encouraged feedback from patients and used this to improve the service. The practitioner was a member of peer groups to keep up to date with best practice. There was evidence of patient-centred improvements made. A range of policies, as well as programmes of audits and risk assessments, helped to ensure the service was safe. The waste management contract must be appropriate for the needs of the service.

## Co-design, co-production (patients, staff and stakeholder engagement)

The service's participation policy detailed how it would seek feedback from patients. Patients had the option to complete questionnaires or leave online reviews on the service's website, or on social media sites. Questionnaires were routinely emailed to patients 24-48 hours after their treatment. There was also the option to complete paper questionnaires for patients who preferred not to use online options.

The service logged all feedback received electronically. We could see improvements were made as a result of patient feedback, for example the service had extended its opening hours.

#### What needs to improve

Although the service encouraged feedback from patients to help improve the service, they did not have a process for feeding back these improvements to patients (recommendation b).

■ No requirements.

#### **Recommendation b**

The service should develop a process of keeping patients informed of the impact their feedback has on the service.

#### **Quality improvement**

We saw that the service clearly displayed its Healthcare Improvement Scotland registration certificate and was providing care in line with its agreed conditions of registration.

The practitioner was proactive in developing and implementing policies and procedures to ensure a safe experience for patients and staff. This included:

- complaints
- duty of candour
- emergencies
- infection control
- information management, and
- safeguarding (public protection).

Policies were reviewed every 2 years and we saw they had recently been updated.

We saw that facilities maintenance, such as boiler servicing and electrical appliance servicing were routinely carried out.

A medicines management policy described how medicines were ordered, supplied, stored and disposed of. The service ordered medicines as needed and held only a small amount in stock. Although no refrigerated medicines needed to be stored at the time of our inspection, we saw the fridge temperature was monitored to make sure any medicines would be stored at the correct temperature.

An emergency policy was in place and the service had an emergency drug supply and first aid kit. All medicines supplied, used and disposed of were logged. Emergency drugs were all in date.

The service had a process for recording any adverse events, incidents and accidents. The service was aware that certain incidents and events must be reported to Healthcare Improvement Scotland. During the inspection, we saw that no incidents which required reporting to Healthcare Improvement Scotland had occurred since the service was registered with Healthcare Improvement Scotland in July 2021.

The service also had a duty of candour policy (where healthcare organisations have a professional responsibility to be honest with people when something goes wrong). The service's most recent duty of candour annual report was

displayed in the service. We noted that the service had not experienced any incidents that required it to follow the duty of candour process.

The service had a complaints policy displayed. This had recently been updated to make clear to patients they could complain to Healthcare Improvement Scotland at any time during the complaints process. No complaints had been received since the service was registered.

The service had implemented an online patient booking and appointment system. This system helped to document patients' experience from booking an appointment through to aftercare. Patients could either telephone or book directly through this system. Relevant information, such as risks of treatment and aftercare, and consent forms for treatment and sharing of information with appropriate healthcare professionals, were emailed to patients directly through this system.

Consultations were always carried out face to face and included, where appropriate, consultations with the prescriber. We were told the prescriber was always in attendance when appropriate, for the management of emergencies, for example complications following dermal filler treatments. Patients were offered follow-up appointments for treatment, allowing the option of a coolingoff period.

Patient care records were also stored on the electronic system. This meant that the entire patient journey from booking to aftercare and follow up was all kept in one place. The service was registered with the Information Commissioner's Office (an independent authority for data protection and privacy rights) to ensure all patient information was held and managed securely.

All patients were given a 24-hour emergency contact number in the event of complications. Patients could contact the practitioner in the first instance and, if the practitioner was not available, the prescriber.

A practicing privileges policy and a signed agreement were in place for the prescriber. The service had carried out appropriate employment checks including:

- Disclosure Scotland background checks
- professional qualifications, and
- evidence of continuous training.

We saw evidence that the service also carried out appropriate ongoing checks to ensure the prescriber's professional registration remained up to date and they remained fit to practice.

The service was a member of peer groups such as the Aesthetic Complications Expert (ACE) Group and the British Association of Cosmetic Nurses (BACN). These peer groups helped the service to keep up to date with changes in legislation and best practice guidance, and offered advice on aesthetics treatments, including the management of complications. The practitioner also kept up to date with their own practice through continued training on procedures, for example with the product suppliers.

#### What needs to improve

Although we saw from a waste collection note that sharps, including botulinum toxin needles, were disposed of appropriately, the waste contract did not include the correct provision for disposing of botulinum toxin (requirement 1).

We were told the prescriber had read all appropriate policies as part of their training in the service, but there was no documented evidence of this (recommendation c).

#### Requirement 1 – Timescale: immediate

The provider must ensure that all botulinum-contaminated sharps are disposed of as cytostatic medicines, through the correct European Waste Catalogue code of EWC 18-01-08.

#### **Recommendation c**

The service should ensure that a written record of all training provided, including confirmation that staff have read and understood relevant policies, is held for each staff member, including for those that are granted practicing privileges to work in the service.

#### Planning for quality

The service had implemented a quality management plan that included the details of audits and risk management. The service had a comprehensive and documented programme of audits and risk assessment. We could see a number of audits had taken place. These included:

- medicines audits
- patient care records audits, and
- cleaning audits.

We also saw infection control risk assessments and sharp injury risk assessments had been undertaken.

We saw evidence of improvements made to the service following audits, such as using software, specialised for aesthetic services, to store patients' care records securely.

#### What needs to improve

The service did not formally record improvements made and planned. There was no recorded evidence therefore of the outcome of improvements made, and their impact on the service and benefits to their patients. This would enable the service to clearly demonstrate a culture of continuous quality improvement (recommendation d).

■ No requirements.

#### **Recommendation d**

■ The service should develop and implement a quality improvement plan that demonstrates and directs the way it measures improvement.

## **Key Focus Area: Results**

Domain 6: Relationships

**Domain 7: Quality control** 

How well has the service demonstrated that it provides safe, person-centred care?

#### **Our findings**

The environment and patient equipment was clean and well maintained, and processes in place to ensure the service continued to be clean and well maintained were effective. Patients were positive and complimentary about the service and the staff. A risk assessment must be carried out on the service's ventilation system in the treatment room.

Every year, we ask the service to submit an annual return. This gives us essential information about the service such as composition, activities, incidents and accidents, and staffing details. The service submitted an annual return, as requested. As part of the inspection process, we ask the service to submit a self-evaluation. The questions in the self-evaluation are based on our Quality Assurance Framework and ask the service to tell us what it does well, what improvements could be made and how it intends to make those improvements. The service submitted a comprehensive self-evaluation.

The environment and equipment were clean and well maintained. We saw that the service had implemented a cleaning schedule and was using appropriate cleaning equipment and products in line with national standards. Personal protective equipment such as gloves, aprons and face masks were available and close to the point of care.

The treatment room and wider premises were private and secure, with a lockable door to the treatment room and screened windows. This ensured patients' privacy and dignity were protected.

The treatment room was fitted with a compliant sink and tap.

We reviewed five patient care records covering different treatments. The patient care records were comprehensive and included patients' consents, assessments and medical histories, and treatments agreed and provided. Consent included keeping records of patient' GPs, emergency/next of kin contact details, consent to share information with other healthcare professionals, and to use photographs. The patient care records also included details of medicines used such as expiry dates and batch numbers. We saw from the records we reviewed that the medicines administered were all in date.

It was also clear where consent was not given, for example for posting photographs on social media.

Patients who had responded to our survey were very positive about their experience with the service. Comments included:

- 'The environment was spotless and had a lovely atmosphere.'
- 'Everything was clean, tidy and prepared for my procedure.'
- 'Lovely salon. Amazing, professional and friendly nurse.'
- 'All the options were explained and I had time to discuss.'

#### What needs to improve

The ventilation unit installed was an air purifying unit. There was no external fresh air supply and the unit did not meet current standards for this type of treatment room (requirement 2).

#### Requirement 2 – Timescale: immediate

- The provider must carry out a risk assessment on its ventilation system in the treatment room to mitigate against any risk associated with using a non-compliant system until the system can be upgraded to conform with Scottish Healthcare Technical Memorandum 03-01.
- No recommendations.

# **Appendix 1 – About our inspections**

Our quality assurance system and the quality assurance framework allow us to provide external assurance of the quality of healthcare provided in Scotland.

Our inspectors use this system to check independent healthcare services regularly to make sure that they are complying with necessary standards and regulations. Inspections may be announced or unannounced.

We follow a number of stages to inspect independent healthcare services.

#### Before inspections

Independent healthcare services submit an annual return and self-evaluation to us.

We review this information and produce a service risk assessment to determine the risk level of the service. This helps us to decide the focus and frequency of inspection.

#### **During inspections**

We use inspection tools to help us assess the service.

Inspections will be a mix of physical inspection and discussions with staff, people experiencing care and, where appropriate, carers and families.

We give feedback to the service at the end of the inspection.

#### After inspections

We publish reports for services and people experiencing care, carers and families based on what we find during inspections. Independent healthcare services use our reports to make improvements and find out what other services are doing well. Our reports are available on our website at: **www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org** 

We require independent healthcare services to develop and then update an improvement action plan to address the requirements and recommendations we make.

We check progress against the improvement action plan.

More information about our approach can be found on our website: <u>https://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/scrutiny/the\_quality\_assura</u> <u>nce\_system.aspx</u>

Before

During

After

## Complaints

If you would like to raise a concern or complaint about an independent healthcare service, you can complain directly to us at any time. However, we do suggest you contact the service directly in the first instance.

Our contact details are:

Healthcare Improvement Scotland Gyle Square 1 South Gyle Crescent Edinburgh EH12 9EB

**Telephone:** 0131 623 4300

Email: his.ihcregulation@nhs.scot

You can read and download this document from our website. We are happy to consider requests for other languages or formats. Please contact our Equality and Diversity Advisor on 0141 225 6999 or email <u>his.contactpublicinvolvement@nhs.scot</u>

#### Healthcare Improvement Scotland

Edinburgh Office Gyle Square 1 South Gyle Crescent Edinburgh EH12 9EB Glasgow Office Delta House 50 West Nile Street Glasgow G1 2NP

0131 623 4300

0141 225 6999

www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org